

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,445	EBERL ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAWAYNE A. PINKNEY	2873	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) DAWAYNE A. PINKNEY.	(3) _____.		
(2) Gary R. Edwards.	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>3 August 2009</u>	Time: <u>11:00 am</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:	52-53		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The Examiner called applicant to correct dependency of claims 52-53 since they seemed to be duplicates of claims 49-50 which were dependent claims from the same independent claims.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Scott J. Sugarman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			